Letter to the Editor

Prevalence of adverse drug reactions with commonly prescribed drugs in different hospitals of Kathmandu valley

Alam K¹, Palaian S⁶

¹Lecturer,²Assistant Professo Department of Pharmacolog Department of Hospital and Clinical Pharcy, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal

Dear Editor

We read with interest the original article entitled "Prevalence of adverse drug reactions with commonly prescribed drugs different hospitals of Kathmandu valley" published if Kath Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2007; (20): 50410 by Jhaet al¹. We congratulate the authors for carrying out such an informative study. Authors werseuccessfuln finding the prevalence of Adverse Drug Reacts (ADR) as 0.86% They also found anassociation between ADRs with adults and dermatological system (35.13%) They also studied the category of dsrug causing ADRs and found antiinfective drugs to be associated with more umber of ADRs. In addition the study also aessed the causality and severity of the reported ADRs.

However, it would have been still better if authors could have compared their resultivith some of the similar studies done in Nepäh the past For example, the study conducted by Shrestha et in five major hospital covering Kathmandu, Bearatpur and Palpa studied similar parametend found the incidence of ADRsas0.4%. In their study64% of the patients experiencing ADRs belonged to the age group of 1445 years and analgesiovere associated with more number of ADRs and hypersensity and Gastro Intestinal bleeding were the major type of ADRs.²

Another study conducted by Misheat al in Western Nepal evaluated the pattern and economic impact of cutaneous ADRs and identified antibiotics to be associated with more number of ADRs and maculopapular rashes to be the common type of cutaneous ADRs (31.57%). They also found majority of the ADRs (82.45%) to have a probable causal relation, and 3.5% of the ADRs tope 'definitely' preventable.

Further more, authors recommended having Phamacovigilance center in every hospital but forgot to mention about the existing Pharmacovigilance (Adverse drug reaction and monitoring) program in the country. In October 2004, the Department of Drug Administration (DDA) was identified as National Phanacovigilance Center and in July 2006, Nepal became the member of InternationalDrug Monitoring Program. Atpresent there are two regional censerworking under the DDA⁴. These centers colde the ADRs from the hospitals and forwarthe ADRsto the DDA though anonline database.

Reference

- Jha N, Bajracharya O, Namgyal. Prevalence of adverse drug reactions with commonly prescribed drugs in different hospitals of Kathmandu valley. Kath Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2007; 4(20): 504-0
- Shrestha R, Shakya S, Bista D bt@ase studies of hospitalized patients due to drug related complications.Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technolog@006; 2 (1). (Available on http://www.ku.edu.np/kuset/second_issue/o2/Raj eev.pdi
- Mishra P. Subish P, Gupta S et al. Pattern and economic impact of cutaneous adverse drug reactions: initial experiences from the regional Pharmacovigilance center, Western Nepal. International Journal of Risk & Safety in Medicine2006; 18:163–71.
- Nepal joins programmeUppsalareports 2007; 36: 5-6. (Available on http://www.who umc.org/graphio/st 0205.pdf)

Kadir Alam

Lecturer, Department of Pharmacology Manipal Teaching Hospital / Manipal College of Medical Sciences Pokhara, Nepal. E-mail: alamkad250@yahoo.com

Correspondence