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ABSTRACT

Background

The alarming increase of HIV/AIDS, inability to afford highly active anti-retroviral therapy, disability and stigma associated with the disease, loss of productivity due to illness, and chronic nature of the disease has made HIV/AIDS one of the most important public health problems in developing countries. The Quality of life assessment is focused on humanistic element and intervention resulting from this result may lead to better overall patient well being.

Objective

The aim of our study was to assess and compare the quality of life among HIV positive individuals in Kathmandu Valley & Eastern region.

Methods

The study was conducted among 228 HIV positive individuals from Eastern Nepal and 213 from Kathmandu valley and they were compared with an equal number of age-sex matched controls from the community. The instrument used was the WHO QOL-BREF Nepali questionnaire.

Results

The HIV positive individuals had significantly lower (P<0.001) QOL scores than the controls in the overall QOL and all the domains of life: Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental. HIV positive individuals from Kathmandu valley scored significantly better (P<0.001) scores in the Physical and Environmental domains when compared to HIV positive individuals from Eastern region. HIV positive females had a significantly higher (P<0.02) QOL scores for overall QOL and overall perception of health than the males.

Conclusion

These findings suggest that HIV positive individuals have a poorer quality of life than the general population in Kathmandu valley & Eastern region of Nepal and interventions could be targeted to improve this aspect of their health.
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INTRODUCTION

The UNAIDS estimated 39.5 million people worldwide are infected with HIV, with 75,000 people in Nepal.1,2 The alarming increase of HIV/AIDS, inability to afford highly active anti-retroviral therapy, disability and stigma associated with the disease, loss of productivity due to illness, and chronic nature of the disease has made HIV/ AIDS one of the most important public health problems in developing countries.

In recent years there has been a broadening in focus in the measurement of health beyond traditional health indicators such as mortality and morbidity, to include measures of the impact of disease and impairment on daily activities and behavior, perceived health measures and disability / functional status measures. The Quality of life (QOL) assessment is focused on humanistic element and intervention resulting from this result may lead to better overall patient well being.1
A case control study was done comparing quality of life of HIV positive individuals with their age and sex matched controls. The study population was taken from the districts of Sunsari, Morang, and Jhapa in Eastern region and from the Kathmandu valley of Nepal. (Fig1)

The non probability convenient sampling technique was used to select the HIV positive individuals. The investigators contacted all the local organizations working for the welfare of HIV positive individuals in Eastern region and Kathmandu valley, and most organizations helped in recruiting cases. HIV positive individuals registered with the organizations were contacted and after taking the consent, the individuals were asked to fill the questionnaire, if literate, or verbally administered if unable to read. Inclusion criteria were: 1) HIV positive persons diagnosed more than two weeks earlier, and 2) age of 18 years or older. An equal number of age and sex matched controls were taken in the community where the study was conducted. Controls were recruited by randomly approaching men and women and requesting them to participate by filling the questionnaire.

Quality of life was evaluated using the World Health Organization WHO QOL-BREF questionnaire Nepali version. The WHOQOL BREF consists of 26 items. Each item uses a Likert-type five-point scale. The first two items were examined separately: one which asked about the individual’s overall perception of QOL and the other which asked about the individual’s overall perception of his or her health. The remaining 24 items are distributed in four domains. The four domains of QOL are, (a) Physical health (seven items assessing areas such as presence of pain and discomfort, activities of daily living, dependence on medicinal substances, energy and fatigue, mobility, sleep and perceived working capacity); (b) Psychological well being (six items assessing areas such as affect, both positive and negative self concept, higher cognitive functions, body image and spirituality), (c) Social relationships (three items assessing areas such as personal relationships, social support and sexual activity) and (d) Environment (eight items assessing areas such as financial resources, freedom, physical safety and security, health and social care: quality and accessibility, physical and home environment, transport and opportunities for recreation and acquiring new information).

Domain scores were scaled in a positive direction (higher scores denote higher quality of life). The mean score of items within each domain was transformed to a 0-100 scale according to guidelines given under scoring the WHOQOL-BREF. Following WHOQOL- BREF instructions the assessment was discarded, where more than 20% data were missing. Where an item was missing, the mean of other items in the domain was substituted. Where more than two items were missing from a domain, the domain score was not calculated (with exception of social domain, where the domain score was calculated only if none of item was missing).

Statistical analysis was performed using the software SPSS version 10.0. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. The statistical significance of the values was determined using Independent-Samples T-Test for mean QOL scores between groups. Chi square test was used to compare the categorical variables. Statistical significance was accorded to a p-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study patients

The study population comprised of 441 HIV positive individuals: 228 from Eastern region and 213 from Kathmandu valley. An equal number of age-sex matched controls were taken from the community. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean age, sex distribution and religion of the cases and the controls.
The mean age of cases was 29.14 ± 5.42 years whereas the mean age of controls was 28.23 ± 8.17 years. Eighty-eight percent of the subjects in all the groups were Hindu.

Most of the HIV positive individuals had received education upto secondary level whereas most of the controls had education upto tertiary level (Fig 2). HIV positive individuals had significantly lower (P<0.001) QOL scores than the controls in the overall QOL and all the domains of life: Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental. (Table 1)

The HIV positive individuals of Kathmandu had better overall QOL, Physical, Psychological and Environmental domains than those in Eastern region but this was significant (P<0.001) only for the Physical and Environmental domain. In contrast, the HIV positives from Eastern region had better Social domain scores. (Table 2)

The HIV positive females had a significantly higher (P<0.02) QOL scores than the males with respect to the Overall QOL and better perception of their health. The HIV positive males had better Psychological and Social domain scores than the females but the difference was not significant. (Table 3)

The asymptomatic HIV positive individuals had significantly higher (P<0.02) QOL scores in the overall QOL, Physical, Psychological and Environmental domains than the symptomatic HIV positive individuals. There was no significant difference (P=0.606) among the symptomatic and asymptomatic HIV positives in the Social domain scores.

The HIV positive individuals were asked if they had suffered from any of the following diseases: i) Tuberculosis, ii) Shingles, iii) Oral thrush, iv) Cryptococcal meningitis, v) Pneumonia. The HIV positive individuals who had suffered from any of the above diseases had significantly lower (P<0.01) Overall QOL and the domains: Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental.

Sixty-three percent of the subjects had acquired HIV through IV drug use while 37% through sexual route. The IV drug users had lower QOL scores in all aspects of life than the others but is significant (P<0.01) only for the Overall QOL and Environmental domain.

Figure 2. Educational levels of cases and controls.
DISCUSSION

Quality of life conveys an overall sense of well being and includes aspects such as happiness and satisfaction in life as a whole. Quality of life is often regarded as a concept that is too nebulous to be measured reliably with a structured questionnaire and is subject to too much variability across cultures and individuals to have any useful validity. The WHOQOL questionnaire is available in 2 versions: WHO QOL-100 and WHOQOL-BREF in several different languages. This questionnaire was developed cross-culturally and has been field tested in several countries around the world as an instrument for measuring the QOL. The WHOQOL project demonstrated that QOL could be conceptualized and defined in a uniform way across cultures in different centres. These developments are of major significance to health care professionals, who aim not only to prevent and treat diseases but also to promote health and quality of life.

In contrast to many other quality of life instruments, WHOQOL includes a domain on environment; this is considered necessary as environment plays a major role in determining health status, mediating disease pathogenesis and limiting or facilitating access to health care. We used WHO-BREF scale as it is useful in busy clinics and wards since it takes only five to eight minutes to complete. In this study most of the HIV positive individuals had received education up to secondary level whereas most of the controls had education up to tertiary level. This difference in education level of HIV positive individuals and controls could be explained by the fact that the HIV positive individuals had high risk behavior (IV drug use, unsafe sex, prostitution) which could have led to drop out from schools.

The HIV positive individuals had significantly lower (P<0.001) QOL scores than the controls in the overall QOL and all the domains of life: Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental.

The HIV positive individuals had an overall QOL mean score 55.42 in the scale of 0-100. Similarly the overall QOL score of 25.8 in HIV positive individuals has been reported from India. This could be due to the fact that our study was community based whereas the latter study was done in hospital settings.

The HIV positives had domain scores in descending order Social (55.58), Physical (54.59), Psychological (52.75), and Environmental (52.05). The Indian study reported scores in the order Social (80.9), Psychological (27.5), Physical (17.7) and Environmental (11.65). This could be due to a small sample size in the latter study.

Similarly another study reported that HIV positive individuals scored significantly lower than controls on all scales (P<0.01). A study from US reported that patients with AIDS had worse physical functioning than those with other chronic disease (epilepsy, gastro-esophageal reflux disease, clinically localized prostrate cancer, clinical depression, and diabetes).

The HIV positive individuals of Kathmandu had better Overall QOL, Physical, Psychological and Environmental domains than those from Eastern Nepal but this was significant (P<0.001) only for the Physical and Environmental domain. This could be due to the fact that Kathmandu being the capital city has better access to health care, good support from the social service organizations and financial resources. In contrast the HIV positives from Eastern region have better Social domain scores. This may indicate that there is more social discrimination attached to this disease in Kathmandu and the HIV positives are better accepted by the society in Eastern Nepal.

The HIV positive females had a significantly higher (P<0.02) QOL scores than the males with respect to the overall QOL and better perception of their health. The HIV positive males had better Psychological and Social domain scores than the females however the difference was not significant.

In contrast a study reported that HIV positive males had higher prevalence of depressive disorders than HIV negative males. Similarly other studies reported that HIV positive women had substantially poorer health related QOL than men with HIV. This could be due to the difference in population and the questionnaire administered. A study from the US reported that social and particularly psychological factors are important in their influence on QOL in women with HIV infection.

The asymptomatic HIV positive individuals had significantly higher (P<0.02) QOL scores in the overall QOL score and the domains Physical, Psychological and Environmental than the symptomatic. There was no significant difference (P=0.606) among the symptomatic and asymptomatic HIV positives in the Social domain scores. This could be due to the fact that the symptomatic HIV positives might be receiving increased attention and social support from the family or the organizations with which they were affiliated.

Physical functioning is much worse for patients with symptomatic HIV disease or who met criteria for AIDS than the asymptomatic HIV positive individuals. In our study the HIV positive individuals who had suffered from any of the diseases: i) Tuberculosis, ii) Shingles, iii) Oral thrush, iv) Cryptococcal meningitis, v) Pneumonia had significantly lower (P<0.01) Overall QOL and the domains: Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental.

In a study using WHO QOL HIV questionnaire older people (>34 years) demonstrated poorer QOL on Physical domain while younger people showed poorer Environmental and Spiritual domains of well being. We found no such difference in our study.
CONCLUSION

In summary, HIV positive individuals have a poorer quality of life both in Eastern region and Kathmandu valley of Nepal than the general population in all the aspects and the HIV positive females had better Overall QOL than the males. This study highlights the need for further studies to be conducted to evaluate more determinants of QOL in HIV/AIDS. The HIV positive individuals could be divided into the various clinical categories of HIV infection and QOL score comparison could be done. A comparative assessment of QOL scores with changing CD4 counts and with institution of anti-retroviral therapy should be done.
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