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ABSTRACT

Accurate histopathological diagnosis of any tumour is imperative because of variable prognostic and clinical implications. Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma (BSCC) is a rare distinctive histological variant of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) exhibiting an aggressive clinical course and poor prognosis. The advanced stage of presentation is speculatively responsible for this biological behaviour which is manifested by development of metastasis and subsequently poor survival. However, the non-specific clinical appearance and histopathological resemblance of BSCC with OSCC and other oral basaloid malignancies (OBM) especially in limited sample size poses diagnostic difficulties. The article emphasizes on the diagnostic criteria and pitfalls encountered in making the differential diagnosis of BSCC from OBMs along with report of a case presented at a dental college in January 2012.
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INTRODUCTION

Appropriate investigations, treatment and management of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) demand a critical protocol to follow which involves a multi-disciplinary approach of various specialities of health sciences. The treatment protocols for it are becoming either dependent on the clinical staging of a tumour which might bring about unwanted mutilation and morbidity or under-estimation and mortality. One of the important determinants for the prediction of the prognosis and appropriate therapeutic regime of OSCC is its categorization into its clinicopathological variant. These variants include verrucous carcinoma, spindle cell carcinoma, basaloid squamous cell carcinoma (BSCC), nasopharyngeal carcinoma; adenosquamous cell carcinoma, adenoid squamous cell carcinoma, undifferentiated carcinomas etc. They display different growth patterns, histopathological features and biological behaviour from conventional OSCC.1

Amongst these, BSCC is considered an aggressive tumour whereas verrucous carcinoma displays indolent behaviour with lowest invasive and metastatic potential.1 BSCC was first described by Wain et al in 1986 arising in the upper aero-digestive tract.2 Although topographically, BSCC of head and neck has a peculiar site distribution but due to its non-distinct clinical and macroscopic aspect, similar etiological risk factors including its questionable association with HPV as that of OSCC, the diagnosis definitely becomes difficult for the clinicians and adds miseries to pathologists roles.3-5 The correct diagnosis is important as it was considered to be high grade in the earlier reports. However, some debatable matter exists concerning the prognosis as recent data indicates a similar course if diagnosed at an early stage.6,7,8 Moreover, most BSCCs are diagnosed at advanced clinical stages leading to poor overall patient survival rates and thus, an unfavourable global prognosis.7

Microscopically, BSCC is characterized by an invasive neoplasm composed of nests and/or lobules of basaloid cells with peripheral nuclear palisading, intimately associated with a dysplastic squamous epithelium/carcinoma in-situ.
and/or invasive OSCC. The overlapping histopathological resemblance of BSCC with OBM e.g., Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC); small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SCNC); HPV-related Non-keratinized carcinoma (NKCa) and basal cell adenocarcinoma (BCA) may lead to erroneous diagnosis especially in an incisional/representative biopsy sample.

This article is written with an intention, firstly to alarm clinicians about this clinically indistinct variant of OSCC which is “so-called” aggressive. Secondly, to aware histopathologists about other OBMs as the treatment protocol or prognosis amongst these entities is disparate. Thus, BSCC should be cautiously distinguished from other OBMs.

CASE REPORT

Forty two years old, woman presented with a painful ulcer on the lateral border of the tongue from two years duration at the department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology at Sudha Rustagi Dental College, Haryana, India in January 2012. Patient reported sudden rapidity of growth and pain from past few months. On clinical examination, a firm, ulcer-proliferative growth of 2.0 to 3.0 cm² was seen (Fig 1). Regional lymph-nodes were non-palpable. Patient revealed no history of smoking or any habit and her past dental and medical history were unremarkable. Blood examinations were found insignificant. At this stage, a provisional diagnosis of OSCC was made and was graded as T1N0M0. After obtaining a written consent from the patient, an incisional biopsy under local anaesthesia was performed.

The tissue was routinely fixed, processed and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The histopathological examination revealed closely apposed lobules and thin trabeculae of dysplastic basaloid cells with few squamoid cells. The epithelial tumor cells exhibited abundant nuclear and cellular pleomorphism, mitotic figures and peripheral nuclear palisading (Fig 2). Epithelial tumor islands exhibiting large central foci of comedo-necrosis (Fig 3) and few tumor cells infiltrating degenerating muscle tissue can be seen in connective tissue. The overlying epithelium showed areas of carcinoma in-situ in abrupt conjunction with an apparently normal hyperplastic stratified squamous epithelium.

BSCC was reported in the incisional biopsy report and this was followed by further examination and wider excision. The excisional biopsy confirmed the histopathological diagnosis.
DISCUSSION

BSCC is a rare epithelial malignant tumor which shows a strong preference for upper aerodigestive tract and thus, presents location-specific clinical signs and symptoms. In the oral cavity, often seen on the base of tongue and tonsils, other sites involved are floor of mouth, gingiva and buccal mucosa. Oral BSCC reportedly occurred almost equally in both sexes often between 60-80 years of age. The phenomenon of older age is more obvious in BSCC than in conventional SCC because of its late diagnosis. The carcinoma grows rapidly and is associated with pain which is responsible for its shorter history.

Similar to SCC, tobacco and/or alcohol consumption have been found in 80% of cases and HPV’s association are proven to be strong risk factors. The unique presentation of our case was its location, that is, lateral border of the tongue which has not been reported as per our knowledge.

BSCC shows a biphasic phenotype, i.e. basaloid and squamous, which often displays an abrupt transition between them. Smooth contoured, solid-lobules of closely packed small cells with hyperchromatic nuclei, and scant cytoplasm are characteristic findings. Comedo-type necrosis, mitotic figures and prominent peripheral palisading are frequent features. Basaloid cells arranged in small cystic spaces or pseudoglandular pattern and stromal hyalination can be seen unlike conventional OSCC. The squamous component of BSCC can be either in-situ carcinoma, or invasive keratinizing OSCC. The latter is usually located superficially; it may also present as a focal squamous differentiation within the basaloid tumour islands.

The squamous differentiation is identified by the presence of two or more of the following histological features: (i) individual cell keratinization, (ii) intercellular bridges, (iii) keratin pearl formation, and (iv) cells arranged in a pavementsing pattern. The intercellular deposition of PAS- and Alcian blue positive material, eosiophilic hyaline or mucoidyline material simulates the appearance of reduplicated basement membrane. In the earliest review by Wain, et al. (1986) features of basaloid component is categorized into four principal histologic features.

Immunohistochemically, the epithelial origin is confirmed by diffuse staining in squamous and basaloid component by cytokeratin AE1/AE3, EMA and CAM 5.2 in BSCC. Unlike OSCC, CK-13 positive staining was limited to the area of well differentiated squamous cells with basaloid cells showing no immunoreactivity. The active cell proliferation, as demonstrated by higher PCNA index, high labelling scores for p53 and Ki67, histologically high mitotic rate, and comedo-like necrosis suggest the high grade malignant nature of BSCC. Low expression of p27 and E-cadherin correlated independently with poor prognosis.

Differential Diagnosis

Histopathological diagnosis of BSCC could be easily made when the architecture can be adequately and clearly assessed in the resected tumor bulk with aid of its potentially helpful clinical features. However, in the incisional/representative biopsies the evaluation can be quite challenging. The differential diagnosis of BSCC embraces all the oral basaloid malignancies (OBM) which are characterized by round to oval nuclei with a scanty cytoplasm (Fig 6).

1. The trickiest differential diagnosis is the solid variant of Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) for which extensive work needs to be done both cytologically and histologically. As emphasized by Ide F, et al (2002), several of the oral tumours reported as “solid ACC” were bona fide examples of BSCC.

ACC is usually well-treated with only excision of the primary tumor but the solid pattern has fulminant course with earlier metastases and poorer survival statisticswhereas, BSCC requires radical management regime thus making its differential diagnosis from ACC critical.

Common features such as the basaloid cytological morphology, cystic, glandular-like spaces and intercellular amorphous, eosiophilic, hyaline deposits are seen in both the malignancy. To differentiate, clinically the BSCC are often associated with cervical lymph node metastasis which is rare in ACC.

Histologically, the PAS positive microcystic spaces in basaloid lobules of BSCC akin to solid ACC are generally limited though high-grade nuclear pleomorphism, mitoses and comedo-necrosis is high. Solid ACC nearly always includes well-formed tubular structures and show evidences of minor salivary glands which is less likely to be seen in BSCC. Essential diagnostic feature is the presence of squamous differentiation or the foci of SCC or carcinomatous change in the surface epithelium of BSCC. Immunohistochemically, the presence of myoepithelial cells in ACC and its positivity for S-100 and SMA helps differentiating from BSCC.

2. The second important differential diagnosis would be Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SCNC) which constitutes a very rare group affecting the non-keratinized oral mucosa. It exhibits an extremely adverse prognosis with tendency to develop distant metastases and very short survival. SCNC is a diagnostic challenge and have been apparently misdiagnosed as poorly differentiated adenosquamous cell carcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma.
in the past due to the lack of molecular pathological analysis. This is an important differential diagnosis as it is often non-surgically treated with radiation and systemic chemotherapy.

Histopathologically, both these show sheets of basaloid appearing cells and rosette-like structures. Frequently BSCC exhibits lobular pattern, hyalinosis, stromal mucin, cyst-like spaces and peripheral palisading which are absent in SCNC. Unlike BSCC, SCNC shows characteristic nuclear moulding and often crushing artefacts. No squamous element which is particularly important to establish the diagnosis of BSCC are seen in SCNC. Immunohistochemically, SCNC is positive for all the neuroendocrine markers such as synaptophysin and chromogranin and ultrastructurally, shows evidence of neurosecretory granules. Both SCNC and BSCC react to pancytokeratin antibodies AE1/AE3 and CAM 5.2 but in SCNC it is frequently seen in a paranuclear pattern.

3. HPV-related nonkeratinizing carcinoma (NKCa) is a distinct molecular, pathological and clinical disease entity from non-HPV driven keratinizing SCC. When compared to BSCC or conventional keratinizing carcinoma, it is biologically less aggressive, typically responds more favourably to organ-sparing treatment modalities with better prognosis. Thus, distinction between these two variants is important. Clinically, when compared with BSCC, NKCa occurs at a relatively younger age. PCR, ISH, etc have consistently demonstrated the presence of HPV genome in NKCa whereas the association of HPV with BSCC is controversial.

The basaloid morphology, brisk mitotisis, comedonecrosis, sometimes peripheral palisading in the cords, nests and sheets of basaloid cells of HPV-related nonkeratinizing carcinoma when occurs at the base of tongue makes it an important differential for BSCC. Similar to BSCC, the diagnosis can be delayed due to non-detection of small primary tumor and metastasis to cervical lymph nodes. For these reasons, histologically, cases of NKCa are occasionally misdiagnosed as BSCC.

Microscopically, NKCa shows basaloid morphology, but unlike BSCC, it never shows cystic spaces with mucin-like material, coagulative necrosis and stromal hyalinosis with basement membrane-like material. Also, NKCa is not associated with conventional SCC or areas of frank keratinisation. Immunohistochemically, NKCa stains strongly with p16, negative or weakly with p53 whereas BSCC shows strong staining with p53.

4. Basal cell adenocarcinoma (BCA) is a low-grade major salivary gland malignancy with relatively favourable behaviour but has been reported intra-oral even in the upper-aerodigestive tract akin to BSCC.

Presence of basaloid cells, and their arrangement in a solid or trabecular pattern may emulate those of BSCC. In BCA however; the basaloid cell population has two cell types, large pale and small dark cells. Features like focal necrosis, mitotic activity, squamous differentiation and peripheral palisading are less frequent and less prominent in BCA and BSCC shows squamous component. Immunohistochemically, these small dark cells are variably positive for myoepithelial markers in different cases e.g., keratin, vimentin, muscle specific actin, p63, and myosin.

CONCLUSION

Histopathological distinction of a tumor is significant especially when tumors are aggressive as the window of opportunity to act early is very narrow or absent. Ironically, BSCC is still labelled as an aggressive tumor despite the various recent studies proving its similar clinical course as conventional OSCC when site and stage are matched. Although the oral cavity is accessible for dental examination to detect early signs of oral cancer, significant delays seem to occur in the diagnosis of BSCC as it has a predilection in the hidden areas i.e., the oro-pharyngeal region. Thus, the clinicians should aim do thorough oral examinations for the detection of these tumors and to provide the best chance for survival.

Also, the pathologists should keep the following features in mind while diagnosing OBM:

1) Cytologically and histologically, dual composition i.e., basaloid and squamoid elements,

2) Overlying epithelium displaying carcinoma in-situ, connected with invading tumor and keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma component,

3) Abundant nuclear pleomorphism.
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