Psychosocial Problems among the Adolescent School Students of Dhulikhel Municipality

Shrestha B,¹ Gautam S,¹ Shrestha J,² Shrestha S¹

ABSTRACT

Background

¹Department of Nursing

Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences,

Dhulikhel, Kavre, Nepal.

²Department of Pharmacy,

School of Science, Kathmandu University,

Dhulikhel, Kavre, Nepal.

Corresponding Author

Bhawana Shrestha

Department of Nursing

Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences,

Dhulikhel, Kavre, Nepal.

E-mail: bhawanakoju@gmail.com

Citation

Shrestha B, Gautam S, Shrestha J, Shrestha S. Psychosocial Problems among the Adolescent School Students of Dhulikhel Municipality. *Kathmandu Univ Med J.* 2021;75(3):366-70.

Adolescence is a period when an individual experiences a variety of biological, psychological and social changes. These multiple changes during the developmental period can make the adolescents vulnerable to mental health problems. Therefore early detection of psychosocial problems and addressing the need of the adolescents are crucial for promoting their mental health.

Objective

To assess psychosocial problems and its associated factors among school going adolescents of Dhulikhel, Nepal.

Method

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted among 513 adolescents studying in grade 8 to 12 of randomly selected schools of Dhulikhel Municipality. Data were collected by using structured questionnaire and Pediatric Symptom Checklist-Youth Report (Y-PSC). Descriptive and inferential analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20.

Result

Out of 513 adolescent students, a total of 133(25.9%) of the adolescents were found to have psychosocial problems. There was significant association between psychosocial problems and respondents' variables like age, grade, parental education status, respondents' living status, perceived family disputes, perceived marital problems of parents, relationship problem with family, stress of failure in study, punishment faced in home and school, experienced sexual harassment and death of loved ones.

Conclusion

Various family related factors and stressful life events of adolescents are associated with the psychosocial problems. Hence early intervention focusing on awareness and modification of stressful life events is required.

KEY WORDS

Adolescents, Pediatric symptom checklist-youth report, Psychosocial problems, Students

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a unique phase of human development.¹ During this period of time, adolescents experiences a variety of biological and emotional changes.^{2,3} When various forms of problems/dysfunctions and conflicts are faced by adolescents, it will ultimately impair normal development aggravating psychosocial dysfunction.³ Mental health conditions account for 16% of the global burden of disease and injury among 10-19 years age group(WHO 2020).² Ministry of Health and Population of Nepal estimates that about 15-20% of this population (2-3 million) may suffer from some form of mental disorder.⁴ Multiple physical, emotional and social changes, including exposure to poverty, abuse, or violence, can make adolescents vulnerable to mental health problems.² Unfortunately, many children and adolescents in Nepal are exposed to such factors. The changing family structure due to divorce, separation from joint family to nuclear family, parental neglect and parental substance abuse also put children at a higher risk of psychosocial and mental health problems.⁴ Lack of attention to the mental wellbeing of children and adolescents, may lead to mental health consequences that may remain throughout life and reduces the capacity of societies' socioeconomic productivity.^{3,5} Promoting psychological well-being and protecting adolescents from adverse experiences and risk factors that may impact their potential to thrive are critical for their well-being during adolescence and for their physical and mental health in adulthood.²

Therefore this study aims to assess psychosocial problems and its associated factors among adolescent school students in Dhulikhel, Nepal.

METHODS

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted among adolescents studying in grade 8 to 12 of randomly selected schools of Dhulikhel Municipality from January 2019 to February 2019. Sample size was calculated using the formula n= Z^2pq/l^2 , considering the prevalence of psychosocial problem as 17% from a previous study conducted in central region of Nepal with allowable error 20% and Z value 1.96 at 95% CI. By adding the 10% non response rate, the calculated sample size was 515.3 Out of 50 schools of Dhulikhel Municipality, three schools were selected by lottery method. From these three schools, adolescent students from grade 8 to 12 were included conveniently in the study. Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Research Committee of Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences. Permission was obtained from Municipality Office, and authority of respective school to conduct study. Informed assent was obtained and voluntary participation of the respondents was carried out.

The questionnaire included socio-demographic

information, family and school related information of the students in first part and in second part, a structured pediatric symptom checklist-youth version (Y-PSC) was used to assess psychosocial problem.^{6,7} Pediatric Symptom Checklist (PSC) is a brief screening questionnaire that helps to recognize psychosocial problems in children. PSC is available in two versions: parent screening version and youth self-report version. In this study, youth self report version of PSC (Y-PSC) was used. The Y-PSC form consists of 35 items, and each item is rated as "Never" (Scored 0), "Sometimes" (Scored 1), or "Often" (scored 2). The total score was calculated by adding together the score for each of the 35 items, with a possible range of scores from 0 to 70. Cut-off score is \geq 30 indicating presence of psychosocial dysfunction.⁶ This PSC could be used without explicit permission for educational and professional research.

Obtained data was coded and analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Frequency and percentage for descriptive statistics and Pearson's chi square and Fisher's exact test were applied to find out the association between psychosocial problems and sociodemographic variables at 95% confidence interval.p-value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Out of the total calculated sample 515, two respondents were excluded for incomplete response. Among 513 respondents, majority (73.3%) of the respondents were of age 15 to 19 years. More than half (52.6%) of the respondents were female. Nearly half (48.5%) of the respondents were studying in higher secondary level. More than three fourth (79.1%) of the respondents were Hindu (Table 1).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents (n= 513)

Variables	Frequency (%)
Age	
10-14 yrs	137(26.7)
15-19 yrs	376 (73.3)
Sex	
Female	270(52.6)
Male	243(47.4)
Educational level	
Lower Secondary level	138 (26.9)
Secondary level	126 (24.6)
Higher secondary level	249 (48.5)
Religion	
Buddhist	66 (12.9)
Christian	39(7.6)
Hindu	406(79.1)
Muslim	2(0.4)

The overall proportion of psychosocial problems among adolescents was found to be 133 (25.9%) (fig. 1).



Figure 1. Psychosocial problems among respondents

The psychosocial problems among adolescents was significantly higher(p=0.017) among higher age group (15-19 years) than among the lower age group (10-14 years). Similarly higher secondary level students had significantly higher (p=0.007) proportion of psychosocial dysfunction than that of other grades.

Those adolescent students whose parents were illiterate, who were living with single parents, who had perceived family disputes, parental marital problems, relationship problem with family had significantly higher (p < 0.001) proportion of psychosocial problems. Likewise the respondents who took frequent stress of failure in study, those who were often punished in home and school, who had faced sexual harassment and death of loved ones, have significant association (p < 0.001) with psychosocial problems. Besides that no significant association (p > 0.05) was seen with psychosocial problems and respondents' variables like gender, religion, occupation of father and mother and type of the family (Table 2).

Table 2. Association between psychosocial problems and sociodemographic characteristics of respondents (n=513)

Variables	Psychosocia	Psychosocial problems	
	Yes (f/%)	No (f/%)	
Age in years			
10-14	25(18.2)	112(81.8)	0.017*
15-19	108(28.7)	268(71.3)	
Sex			
Female	72(26.7)	198(73.3)	0.687*
Male	61(25.1)	182(74.9)	
Grade			
Lower secondary level	30 (21.7)	108(78.3)	0.007*
Secondary level	57(22.9)	192(77.1)	
Higher secondary level	46(36.5)	80(63.5)	
Religion			
Hindu	100(24.6)	306(75.4)	0.13*
Buddhist	17(25.8)	49(74.2)	
Others	16(39.0)	25(61.0)	
Father education			
Illiterate	19(51.4)	18(48.6)	<0.001*

Rather occupationRelationRelationFather occupation13126.09503.09.13140Notworking16.709403.010.000Illiterate1062.079406.009.000Illiterate1062.079407.019.000Morking7422.902407.010.643.00Notworking7402.902407.019.000Morking7402.902407.010.643.00Notworking7402.902107.010.643.00Notworking7402.902107.000.303.00Joint5402.902107.000.303.00Nuclear9122.902107.000.304.00Ilving with both parents9122.902107.00Ilving with other partely2104.002104.00Ilving with o	Literate	112/22 0)	261/76 2)		
Norking1312c.03637.30.1314.1Notworking16.714.83.31.000.5Hilterate25.93.336.03.00.000.5Ilterate102.03.032.03.01.000.5Morking742.03.024.07.030.04.01Nowoking742.03.021.03.00.000.5Ture family74.03.021.03.00.000.5Joint61.03.021.03.00.000.5Nuclear91.03.021.03.021.03.0Iving with oth parents91.03.021.03.021.03.0Iving with oth parents91.03.0		115(25.6)	501(70.2)		
Notworking(H.7)(H9.3.3)Notworking(16.7)(14.9.3.3)Mitterate(25.9.7)(36.0.3)(0.06.8.7)Illiterate(106.2.3.7)(34.07.8.1)(34.07.8.1)Mother occupation(74.2.9.9)(24.97.1.1)(0.44.7.8.1)Working(74.2.9.1)(24.9.7.1)(34.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)Not working(24.2.3.1)(24.9.7.1)(34.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)Extended(20.7.7)(24.7.9.1)(24.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)Joint(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)Iving with both parents(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)Iving with both parents(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)Iving with both parents(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)Never(34.7.1)(34.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)Never(34.7.1)(34.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)No(34.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)No(34.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)No(34.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)(24.7.8.1)No(34.7.8.1)(34.7.8.1)(34.8.1)(34.8.1)(34.8.1)No(34.7.8.1)(34.8.1)(34.8.1)(34.8.1)(34.8.1)No(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)No(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)(34.9.1)No(34.9.1)(34.9.1) <td>-</td> <td>121/26 4)</td> <td>265(72.6)</td> <td>0 121#</td>	-	121/26 4)	265(72.6)	0 121#	
Nother educationIlliferate25(39.7)36(0.3)0.00*°Ilterate106(2.3)34(7.3)1Morking74(2.9)24(97.1)0.64*°Notworking57(30.3)31(69.7)1Extended620.7031(69.7)1Joint54(2.3)12(7.2)1Joint54(2.3)12(7.2)1Iving with both parents92(3.2)32(3.2)1Iving with both parents12(3.2)32(4.2)1Iving with both parents12(3.2)32(4.2)1Iving with both parents12(3.2)32(4.2)1Iving with both parents12(3.2)3(4.2)1Iving with both parents12(3.2)11Iverent failent both12(3.2)11Iverent failent both12(3.2)3(4.2)1Iverent failent both12(3.2)3(4.2)1Iverent failent both12(3.2)11Iverent failent both12(3.2)3(1.2)1Iverent failent both12(3.2)11Iverent failent both12(3.2)11			. ,	0.131#	
Illiterate25(39.7)84(60.3)0.000000Iterate06(20.3)84(7.1)0.000000Morking7(20.3)21(9.7)0.000000Not working0(20.7)21(9.7)0.000000Fatended6(20.7)21(9.7)0.000000Joint5(20.3)12(9.7)0.000000Nuclear0(20.7)21(9.7)0.000000Iving with both parents91(20.3)21(9.7)0.000000Iving with both parents21(20.0)3(30.0)0.000000Iving with solep parent21(20.0)21(9.0)0.000000Iving with solep parent21(20.0)21(9.0)0.000000Sometimes3(21.0)21(9.0)0.000000Sometimes68(21.0)21(9.0)0.000000Sometimes68(21.0)3(38(0.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000No52(55.0)3(14.0)0.000000<	5	1(0.7)	14(95.5)		
IdealReceipReceipReceipIterate20000200000200000Working201200201000201000Not working201000201000201000Extended6020.0020170.00201000Joint5020.0020170.00201000Nuclear0120.0020170.00201000Iving with both parents20120.00201000201000Iving with both parents20120.00201000201000Iving with both parents20120.00201000201000Iving with single parent20120.00201000201000Sometimes30210.00201000201000201000Sometimes62010.0020170.00201000201000Sometimes20120.00201000201000201000No8101.00201000201000201000No8101.00201000201000201000No8101.00201000201000201000No8101.00201000201000201000No8101.00201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No201000201000201000201000No2010000<		25/20 7)	20/60 2)	0.006*	
Nother occupation74(22.9)249(77.1)0.64*Not working74(22.9)249(77.1)0.64*Not working75(70.2)31(10.2)1000000000000000000000000000000000000			. ,	0.000	
Norking74(2.8)24(97.1)0.64(3)Not working75(3)31(6)1Not working75(3)31(3)31(3)Extended6(0.7)3(79.3)31(3)Joint74(2)3(79.3)31(3)31(3)Notacia75(2)3(2)3(17.2)3(10.1)Notacia5(5)3(3)3(10.1)3(10.1)Living with both parents5(5)3(3)3(10.1)Living with other relatives3(21.0)3(346.9)3(10.1)Sometimes3(21.0)3(24.9)4(30.1)Sometimes3(3)3(3)4(3)Orten3(21.0)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(3)4(3)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)No3(10.1)3(10.1)3(10.1)N		100(23.7)	342(70.3)		
IndustyIndustyIndustyIndustyIndustyIndustyNotworking54(3.0)54(3.0)54(3.0)5.33(**)Joint54(23.0)176 (76.5)1.000Joint54(23.0)317 (7.2)4.000*Iving with both parents95(23.0)321 (7.2)4.000*Iving with both parents95(23.0)321 (7.2)4.000*Iving with other relatives126.50.0367.50.11.000*Perceived family disputes321.00.0367.50.11.000*Sometimes68(21.0)247.70.04.000*Sometimes68(21.0)247.70.04.000*No321.50.0414.10.11.000*Yere50031980.704.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(19.3)39(80.7)4.000*No81(20.9)314(1.0)1.000*No81(20.9)314(1.0)1.000*No81(20.9)314(1.0)1.000*No81(20.9)116(6.1)1.000*No61(20.1)116(4.1)1.000*No61(20.1)116(4.1)1.000*No61(20.1)116(4.1)1.000*No61(20.1)116(4.1)1.000*No1000*116(1.1)1.000*	•	74/22.0)	240/77 1)	0.64*	
<th and="" column="" of="" series="" td="" th<="" the=""><td></td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td>0.64*</td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td>. ,</td> <td>0.64*</td>			. ,	0.64*
Itemation6(20.7)23(79.3)0.336*Joint54(23.5)176 (76.5)1Juclear73(28.7)181(71.3)1Iving status52(23.8)321(77.2)<0.001*	-	57(30.3)	131(09.7)		
Joint54(3.5, %176 (76.5)Nuclear73(28.7, %181(7.3, %)Iving with both parents95(22.8, %21(77.2, %)Living with both parents26(53.1, %)23(46.9)1Living with single parent26(53.1, %)23(46.9)1Perceived family disputes23(28.0, %)24(79.0, %)4.001*Sometimes68(21.6, %)247(78.4)1Often32(78.0, %)9(22.0)1Perceived marital problem of Yes33(80.0, %)4.001*No81(19.3, %)339(80.7)4.001*No81(19.3, %)33(81.0, %)4.001*No81(19.3, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*Yes26(59.0, %)34(41.1)1No81(20.0, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*No81(20.0, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*No81(20.0, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*Never12(29.0, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*Never12(29.0, %)34(80.0, %)4.001*Never12(29.0, %)34(10.1, %)4.001*Never12(29.0, %)116(6.1, %)4.001*Never46(30.7, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never48(20.6, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never48(20.6, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never48(20.6, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never48(20.6, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never48(20.6, %)13(10.1, %)4.001*Never12(10		C(20 7)	22/70.2)	0.226*	
Nuclear73(28.7)154(47)Nuclear73(28.7)154(71.3)Living status95(22.8)321(77.2)<0.001*Living with both parents26(53.1)23(46.9)Living with single parent26(53.1)23(46.9)Perceived family disputes23(21.00)36(75.0)Never33(21.00)124(79.0)<0.001*Sometimes68(21.61)247(78.4)Often32(78.00)9(22.0)Perceived marital problem of Yes33(80.70)No81(19.3)339(80.7)No81(19.3)339(80.7)No81(19.3)348(80.0)No81(19.3)348(80.0)No81(20.0)324(10)Yes36(20.4)324(10)Never12(29.6)36(70.4)Never21(29.6)30(71.4)Never21(29.6)30(71.4)Never21(29.6)30(73.1)Never31(29.1)31(16.1)Never31(29.1)31(16.1)Never31(29.1)31(17.7)Never31(20.1)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)Never38(20.3)31(17.7)			. ,	0.336*	
Living statusJet (a, b, a, b, b, a, b, b, a, b,					
Living with both parents95(22.8)321(77.2)<0.001*Living with single parent26(53.1)23(46.9)Living with other relatives12(25.0)36(75.0)Perceived family disputes33(21.0)124(79.0)<0.001*		73(28.7)	181(71.3)		
I king with single parent26(53.1)2(3(46.9)I king with other relatives12(25.0)3(67.5.0)Preceived family disputes33(21.0)124(79.0)4.001*Sometimes68(21.6)24/7(8.4)120J ften32(78.0)9(22.0)120Preceived marital problem of yers33(80.0)4.001*No81(19.3)339(80.7)4.001*Yes52(55.9)41(44.1)120No87(20.0)348(80.0)4.001*Yes6(50.0)32(41.0)120No87(20.0)348(80.0)4.001*No87(20.0)348(80.0)4.001*No87(20.0)348(80.0)4.001*No87(20.0)32(41.0)120No87(20.0)32(41.0)120No87(20.0)32(41.0)120No61(20.0)32(70.0)4.001*Never61(20.0)32(70.0)120No21(20.0)121(20.0)120Never61(20.0)31(20.0)120Never41(30.0)13(17.0)4.001*Never61(20.0)13(70.0)120Never61(20.0)13(17.0)4.001*Never61(20.0)13(17.0)120No90120120120No90120120120No120120120120No120120120120No120120120 <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	5				
Living with other relatives12(25.0)36(75.0)Perceived family disputes.Never33(21.0)124(79.0)<0.001*	Living with both parents			<0.001*	
Perceived family disputesNever33(21.0)124(79.0)<0.001*	Living with single parent	26(53.1)	23(46.9)		
Never33(21.0)124(79.0)<0.001*Sometimes68(21.6)247(78.4)Often32(78.0)9(22.0)Pærceived marital problem of parents339(80.7)No81(19.3)339(80.7)Yes52(55.9)41(44.1)Yes52(55.9)41(44.1)No87(20.0)348(80.0)No87(20.0)32(41.0)Yes46(59.0)32(41.0)Never21(29.6)50(70.4)Sometimes66(20.4)257(79.6)Often46(38.7)73(61.3)Sometimes66(20.4)257(79.6)Never47(15.3)261(84.7)Never47(15.3)312.5)Mever68(27.1)313(72.9)Often12(87.6)12(41.4)Never84(20.6)185(79.4)Never86(27.1)12(31.4)Never98(22.3)341(77.7)Never98(22.3)341(77.7)Never98(22.3)341(77.7)Never98(23.3)39(52.7)No47(18.4)209(81.6)No47(18.4)209(81.6)No47(18.4)209(81.6)	Living with other relatives	12(25.0)	36(75.0)		
Sometimes68(21.6)247(78.4)Often32(78.0)9(22.0)Perceived marital problem of parents339(80.7)<0.001*	Perceived family disputes				
Often32(78.0)9(22.0)Perceived marital problem of parents339(80.7)<0.001*No81(19.3)339(80.7)<0.001*	Never	33(21.0)	124(79.0)	<0.001*	
Perceived marital problem of parentsReferenceNo81(19.3)339(80.7)<0.001*	Sometimes	68(21.6)	247(78.4)		
preventsNo81(19.3)339(80.7)<0.001*	Often	32(78.0)	9(22.0)		
Yes 52(55.9) 41(44.1) Perceived relationship problem with family 52(55.9) 41(44.1) No 87(20.0) 348(80.0) <0.001*					
Perceived relationship problem with family No Stress No 87(20.0) 348(80.0) <0.001*	No	81(19.3)	339(80.7)	< 0.001*	
with family 87(20.0) 348(80.0) <0.001*	Yes	52(55.9)	41(44.1)		
Yes 46(59.0) 32(41.0) Stress of failure in study 50(70.4) <0.001*					
Stress of failure in study 21(29.6) 50(70.4) <0.001*	No	87(20.0)	348(80.0)	< 0.001*	
Never 21(29.6) 50(70.4) <0.001* Sometimes 66(20.4) 257(79.6) Often 46(38.7) 73(61.3) Never 47(15.3) 261(84.7) <0.001*	Yes	46(59.0)	32(41.0)		
Sometimes 66(20.4) 257(79.6) Often 46(38.7) 73(61.3) Punishment in home Never 47(15.3) 261(84.7) <0.001*	Stress of failure in study				
Often 46(38.7) 73(61.3) Punishment in home 73(61.3) Never 47(15.3) 261(84.7) <0.001*	Never	21(29.6)	50(70.4)	<0.001*	
Purishment in home 47(15.3) 261(84.7) <0.001*	Sometimes	66(20.4)	257(79.6)		
Never 47(15.3) 261(84.7) <0.001* Sometime 65(25.9) 116(64.1) Often 21(87.5) 3(12.5) Purishment in school 21(87.5) 3(12.5) Never 48(20.6) 185(79.4) <0.001*	Often	46(38.7)	73(61.3)		
Sometime 65(25.9) 116(64.1) Often 21(87.5) 3(12.5) Purishment in school 48(20.6) 185(79.4) <0.001*	Punishment in home				
Often 21(87.5) 3(12.5) Punishment in school Never 48(20.6) 185(79.4) <0.001*	Never	47(15.3)	261(84.7)	< 0.001*	
Punishment in school 185(79.4) <0.001* Never 48(20.6) 185(79.4) <0.001*	Sometime	65(25.9)	116(64.1)		
Never 48(20.6) 185(79.4) <0.001* Sometimes 68(27.1) 183(72.9) Often 17(58.6) 12(41.4) Faced Sexual harassment <0.001* Never 98(22.3) 341(77.7) <0.001*	Often		3(12.5)		
Sometimes 68(27.1) 183(72.9) Often 17(58.6) 12(41.4) Faced Sexual harassment Ver 98(22.3) 341(77.7) <0.001* Sometimes 35(47.3) 39(52.7) <0.001*	Punishment in school				
Sometimes 68(27.1) 183(72.9) Often 17(58.6) 12(41.4) Faced Sexual harassment Ver 98(22.3) 341(77.7) <0.001* Sometimes 35(47.3) 39(52.7) <0.001*	Never	48(20.6)	185(79.4)	< 0.001*	
Often 17(58.6) 12(41.4) Faced Sexual harassment Never 98(22.3) 341(77.7) <0.001*	Sometimes		183(72.9)		
Faced Sexual harassment 98(22.3) 341(77.7) <0.001* Nometimes 35(47.3) 39(52.7) Faced death of loved ones No 47(18.4) 209(81.6) <0.001*	Often	. ,	12(41.4)		
Sometimes 35(47.3) 39(52.7) Faced death of loved ones Ves 47(18.4) 209(81.6) <0.001* Yes 86(33.5) 171(66.5)	Faced Sexual harassment				
Sometimes 35(47.3) 39(52.7) Faced death of loved ones Ves 47(18.4) 209(81.6) <0.001* Yes 86(33.5) 171(66.5)	Never	98(22.3)	341(77.7)	< 0.001*	
Faced death of loved ones Visit of loved ones <thvisitof ones<="" th=""> Visit of loved ones</thvisitof>					
No 47(18.4) 209(81.6) <0.001* Yes 86(33.5) 171(66.5)					
Yes 86(33.5) 171(66.5)		47(18.4)	209(81.6)	<0.001*	
	*Pearson's chi square test	(00.0)			

DISCUSSION

The present study shows that 25.9% of adolescent students were found to have of psychosocial problems. This finding was found to be higher compared to the study conducted in Pokhara (21.7%) and in central regions of Nepal, Hetauda (17.03%) and Lalitpur (12.9%).^{3,8,9} This variation could be probably due to differences in characteristics of the sample and geographical area.

There was significant association between psychosocial problems and age of respondents (p=0.017) which was consistent with the similar studies conducted in Nepal and India.^{3,9-11} One of the important reason for this could be because of the increase in social roles and responsibilities with increasing age and probably due to fear of failing to meet those responsibilities and expectations from those age groups. The study showed that higher proportion of adolescents studying in higher secondary level tend to have psychosocial problem which was significant (p=0.007) and consistent with the finding of the study conducted in Pune, India.¹⁰ Probable reason for this can be better explained by the fact that as the grade of the students increases, there is advancement in the education system which increases educational difficulty level contributing to increased psychosocial dysfunction. Similarly this study revealed that adolescents whose parents were illiterate (p < 0.05) were more prone to psychosocial problems compared to those whose parents were literate. This finding is similar with the finding of the study conducted in Nepal.³ This possibly could be due to positive effect of parental education like awareness regarding possible problems of children, proper guidance and counseling.³

According to this study, respondents who are living with single parents were more likely to develop psychosocial problem compared to those living with both parents and other family members which was significant (p < 0.001) finding and is similar to the study done in central region of Nepal.³

Similarly there is statistically significant association between perceived family disputes, perceived parental marital problems, relationship problem with family members with psychosocial problem (p value < 0.001) This indicates that respondents whose family often have family disputes, parental marital problems and relationship problems are more prone to develop psychosocial problems. This is similar to the findings of similar study done on Nepal and India. Family disputes and relationship problems decreases the attention of parents toward the needs of their children and ultimately decreases positive interaction among parents and their adolescents, which negatively impacts adolescent development, including poor academic performance.^{3,11} Likewise the respondents who are punished in home and school have significant association with psychosocial problems (p < 0.001) which is similar with the findings of study conducted in Nepal which shows significant association between physical harm and psychosocial problems.¹³

This study showed that those respondents who had stress of failure in study have significant association with psychosocial problems ($p \le 0.0001$). The similar study done in Uganda show that study burden is associated with the psychosocial problems (p=0.02).¹⁴

Significant association was found between psychosocial problems and stressful events like facing of sexual harassment and death of loved ones (p < 0.001). This finding is similar with the study conducted by Gyawali in Nepal which showed the relationship between the types of mental health effects and its level on the respondents due to the sexual harassment.¹⁵ Similar finding was observed in the study conducted by Raza et al. which showed significant impact of parental death on the psychosocial functioning of adolescents.¹⁶

However this study showed that there was no significant association of gender, religion, parental occupation, type of the family which was similar with the finding of the study conducted in Nepal.⁹

Since this study included only the adolescents from the three schools it may not represent the whole population of Nepal. Moreover, this study used only the psychosocial screening checklist to identify the presence of psychosocial dysfunction among the adolescents. In addition to this psychosocial screening tool, clinical assessment can add stronger evidence of psychosocial dysfunction among adolescents group.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that one fourth of the adolescents had psychosocial problems. Family related factors and stressful life events are the associated factors of psychosocial problems of adolescents. Public awareness program regarding adolescents' psychosocial problems, its early diagnosis, treatment and prevention should be emphasized to promote mental health of adolescents. The primary focus towards adolescents' friendly environment with the involvement of family and schools is of great importance to minimize the risk of developing psychosocial dysfunction among adolescents. Moreover, focus towards regular psychosocial counseling and teaching life skills such as problem solving approaches and adopting coping strategies for adolescents can play vital role in psychosocial development.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization (WHO). Adolescent Health: Overview. Available: https://www.who.int/health-topics /adolescent-health /#tab=tab_1. (Accessed 1st June 2021).
- World Health Organization (WHO). Adolescent Mental Health; 2020. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ adolescent-mental-health. (Accessed 1st June 2021).
- 3. Bista B, Thapa P, Sapkota D, Singh SB and Pokharel PK. Psychosocial Problems among Adolescent Students: An Exploratory Study in the Central Region of Nepal. *Front. Public Health.* 2016;4:158. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2016.00158
- Chaulagain A, Kunwar A, Watts S, Guerrero A, Norbert S. Child and adolescent mental health problems in Nepal: a scoping review. *International Journal of Mental Health System*. 2019; 13(53). https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13033-019-0310-y
- 5. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2001. Mental Health: New Understanding, New Hope. Geneva: WHO (2001).
- Jellinek MS, Murphy J, Little M, Pagano ME, Comer DM, Kelleher KJ. Use of the pediatric symptom checklist to screen for psychosocial problems in pediatric primary care: a national feasibility study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1999. 153:254–60. doi:10.1001/ archpedi.153.3.254 7
- Massachusetts General Hospital. Pediatric Symptom Checklist. Available from https://www.massgeneral.org/psychiatry/treatmentsand-services/pediatric-symptom-checklist. [Accessed 19th June 2020].
- Banstola R. Psychosocial Problem among School-going Adolescents in Pokhara, Western Nepal. Janapriya Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies. 2018. 6:121-33. https://doi.org/10.3126/jjis.v6i0.19314

- Timalsina M, Kafle M, Timalsina R. Psychosocial Problems among School Going Adolescents in Nepal. *Psychiatry Journal*. 2018. (1):1-6. DOI:10.1155/2018/4675096
- Bhosale S, Singru SA, and Khismatrao A. Study of psychosocial problems among adolescents. *Al Ameen Journal of Medical Sciences*. 20158(2): p. 150-5.
- Ahamd A, Khalique N, Khan Z, Amir A. Prevalence of psychosocial problems among school going male adolescents. *Indian J Community Med.* 2007. 32:219–21. doi:10.4103/0970-0218.36836
- 12. Pathak R, Sharma RC, Parvan UC, Gupta BP, Ojha RK, Goel N. Behavioural and emotional problems in school going adolescents. *Australians Med Journal*. 2011; 4 (1): 15–21.
- Adhikari RP, Upadhya N, Suwal BR, Shrestha MP, Subedi PK. Factors associated with perceived psychosocial problems and help seeking practices among Adolescents in Nepal. *Journal of population and social studies*. 2017; 25(1): 1-10.
- Nsereko ND, Musisi S, Nakigudde J, Holtzman SL. Prevalence, types, distribution and associations of psychosocial problems among university students in Uganda. *International Journal of Research Studies in Psychology*. 2014; 3(4):3-16.
- Gyawali K. Sexual Harassment and its Effects on the Mental Health of the Adolescents School Girls in Lalitpur and Rupandehi District. *Tribhuvan University Journal.* 2020;35(2): 72-88. https://doi. org/10.3126/tuj.v35i2.36192
- 16. Raza S, Adil A, Ghayas S. Impact of Parental Death on Adolescents' Psychosocial Functioning. *Journal of Psy Res.* 2008; 3(1): 1-11.