

Dear Editor,

I refer to the article by Rawat and Satyal, KUMJ (2003)2:3; 182-87. I found it difficult to interpret their results. The authors appear to have given the mean of observations without giving the range or standard deviation of the measurements. They appear to have grouped patients together to double the figures. The observations in Table 3 are unclear (columns 2 and 3). The observations in Table 6 would suggest that 5 of the size patients who were treated did not have any pericardial effusion but one of them developed a moderate sized PE after at least 4 months of replacement therapy.

I agree with their conclusions that 'small number of subjects limits the statistical power of the study'. Perhaps the authors should also acknowledge that presented study does not allow us to reach any other meaningful conclusion. However, they deserve our thanks for reminding us of a well known but often forgotten aspect of hypothyroidism.

Dr. Prasanna Gautam,
UK

Erratum

It has been brought to the Editor's attention that a typographical error has been made in the following lines

1. **Re. Stripping without a stripper (KUMJ Vol 2., No. 2, Issue 6, page 124)**
The last name of the second author has been misspelled as 'Shretha' when it should have been 'Shrestha'
2. **Re. A comparison of haemodynamic responses (KUMJ Vol 2., No. 2, Issue 6, page 127)**
The three co-author's names (Mahrajan SK, Shrestha BR and Shrestha S) have been omitted in the front cover page of the journal.
3. **Re. Abdominal tuberculosis – a study of 25 cases (KUMJ Vol 2., No. 2, Issue 6, page 137)**
Names of two authors (Roy PK and Hasan M) have been omitted on page 137

We apologise for any inconvenience and confusion this may have caused.