Association of Different Biochemical and Hemodynamic Characteristic with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension in Nephrolithiasis Patients

Katwal BM,¹ Gautam N,² Shrestha S,³ Adhikari R,¹ Baral H,¹ Jha SK,⁴ Jha G⁵

ABSTRACT

Background

¹Department of Urology and Kidney Transplantation, Shahid Dharma National Transplant Center (SDNTC), Bhaktapur.

²Department of Biochemistry, Universal College of Medical Sciences (UCMS), Bhairahawa.

³Central Jail Hospital-Laboratory, Tripureshwor, Kathmandu.

⁴Department of Radiology, Kanti Children's Hospital, Maharajgunj, Kathmandu.

⁵Department of Obsterics and Gynaecology, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Patan, Lalitpur.

Corresponding Author

Narayan Gautam

Department of Biochemistry,

Universal College of Medical Sciences (UCMS),

Bhairahawa.

E-mail: gau.naran@gmail.com

Citation

Katwal BM, Gautam N, Shrestha S, Adhikari R, Baral H, Jha SK, et al. Association of Different Biochemical and Hemodynamic Characteristic with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension in Nephrolithiasis Patients. *Kathmandu Univ Med J.* 2023;81(1):58-63. Although Nephrolithiasis is a common condition caused by a wide variety of metabolic or environmental disturbances, its being one of the major factor of morbidity. Incidence of kidney stone disease (KSD) is highly affected by metabolic disorders and change in blood pressure and glucose.

Objective

To find out association of different biochemical and hemodynamic parameters with various glycemic status and hypertension in kidney stone disease.

Method

A cross sectional study was conducted in patients diagnosed as nephrolithiasis by using re¬nal ultrasonography and underwent nephrectomy between January 2019 to January 2021 in Shahid Dharmabhakta National Transplant Centre (SDNTC). A total of 100 subjects with 60 male and 40 females were enrolled. Glycemic status was categorized based on criteria of American Diabetes Association (ADA) and hypertension was defined as BP \geq 140/90 mm Hg in right arm supine position. All biochemical and hemodynamic profile was carried out following standard protocol.

Result

Out of 100 patients enrolled, pre-diabetes accounted for 31% followed by diabetes (4%). However, hypertension comprised of 66% in total subjects. Serum urea, cholesterol and triglyceride level were found to be increased by 84.6%, 67.7% and 64.7% respectively in diabetes followed by increase of 3.9%, 19.5% and 3.1% respectively in prediabetes when compared to normal glycemic condition in nephrolithiasis subjects. Serum fasting blood glucose, creatinine and uric acid level was observed significantly higher (p=0.003, p=0.004, p < 0.001 respectively) in hypertensive patients. Duration of hospital stay was also seen positively correlated with hypertension.

Conclusion

Not only diabetes, prediabetes also manifests the increased risk of kidney stone disease along with hypertension. There is significant impairment in renal function and lipid profile based on diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Early identifying these systemic diseases, different biochemical and hemodynamic parameters and proper treatment accordingly may minimize risk and prevent serious complication in these patients.

KEY WORDS

Diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Nephrolithiasis

INTRODUCTION

Nephrolithiasis is being increasing throughout the globe and is found to be closely associated with gender, ethnicity, age factor and transition to present sedentary lifestyle.¹ It is considered as one of the responsible factor for morbidity. It was reported that at the age of 75 years, around 10% men followed by 5% women develop kidney stone.²⁻⁵ Most of studies have been conducted to show the association of nephrolithiasis with different metabolic disorder components like hypertension, hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus. Kidney stone disease (KSD) not only enhance the risk of other comorbidity but in fact leads to final stage of renal disorder. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a systemic metabolic disease caused due to insulin resistance that in turn causes alteration in formation of renal ammonium and finally contributes to formation of kidney stones.6-8 Apart from diabetes mellitus (DM), nephrolithiasis is also seen in close association with hypertension. Although the main mechanism behind hypertension is still in doubt, different experimental study yet provide evidence to support hypertension as a causative factor that amplify risk of kidney stone. Tibblin was first of them to explain the association in 1965.⁹ Risk of hypertension is increased by 1.24-1.96 in nephrolithiasis patient in contrary to normal ones.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ Review by Cupisti et al. also supported the close link of hypertension with incidence of kidney stone.¹⁷ Thus, recognizing such systemic disease at right time will minimize the risk of forming kidney stone and recurrent stones as well.

METHODS

A hospital based descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted over a period of 2 years commencing from January 2019 to January 2021 in Shahid Dharmabhakta National Transplant Centre (SDNTC). Hundred patients who have been diagnosed with nephrolithiasis based on ultrasonography and undergone nephrectomies were included in this study whereas patients with other causes of kidney disease were excluded. Post- operative outcomes were also recorded. The ethical committee approval was obtained with the research reference number 77/78. Glycemic status, prediabetic (100-125 mg/dl), diabetic (≥ 126 mg/dl) and normal (< 100 mg/dl), was categorized based on criteria of American Diabetes Association (ADA) and hypertension was defined as $BP \ge 140/90$ mmHg in right arm supine position. Different socio-demographic characteristics of the patients like age, sex, ethnicity, dietary habits, alcohol intake, pulse rate and blood pressure were noted. eGFR was calculated by Cock Croft Gault (CG) equation. Serum level of calcium, phosphorous, lipid profile, renal function test, and hemoglobin were measured by using standard protocol.

The sample size was determined as follows: $n=(Z^{2} PQ)/D^{2}$ = (1.96)² ×0.07 × 0.93 / (0.0025)² =100 Where, n = sample size, Z = critical value = 1.96, P = prevalence of nephrolithiasis = 7%, Q = without disease (1-P), D = allowance error (5%)

All the data from cases were fed in Microsoft office 2010, MS Excel and then analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Service (SPSS) for window version; SPSS 22, Inc., Chicago, IL. The data was expressed in terms of percentage frequency and tested by chi-square test; the median values were compared by Man Whitney U test and association of variables with Spearman's correlation. P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the association of different biochemical and hematological variables with FBG and BP of nephrolithiasis patients. The serum urea level was seen significantly increased in all 4 cases (100%) in diabetic subjects as compared to 6 cases (19.3%) in prediabetes followed by 10 cases (15.4 %) in normal subjects (p < 0.001). Similarly, increased cholesterol and TG were observed in all cases 4 (100%) in FBG \geq 126 mg/dl as compared to 100-125 mg/ dl with 35.4% and 54.8% cases respectively and <100 mg/dl blood glucose level with 32.3% and 35.3% cases respectively (p=0.024, p=0014). Moreover, lower HDL level was observed in 3 cases (75%) and higher diastolic pressure with 3 cases (75%) was observed in diabetic as compared to prediabetes and normal cases (p=0.004, p=0.047). Regarding hypertension, serum creatinine level was found significantly increased in 7 cases (20.6%) with BP \geq 140/90 as compared with < 140/90 (p=0.004).

Table 2 illustrates the median (IQR) of biochemical parameters, hemodynamic variables and outcome of surgery with FBG and BP of Nephrolithiasis patients. In comparison of DM with prediabetes and normal cases, the median age of diabetic subjects was 54 years with an interquartile range (IQR) of 48-58 years followed by 38-56 years in prediabetes and least IQR of 30-50 years in normal FBG cases (p=0.006). Man Whitney U test shows statistically significant difference in FBS, HbA1c, urea, creatinine, uric acid and eGFR among these three groups (<0.001, 0.019, 0.004, 0.004, 0.008, <0.001) respectively. Serum TC, TG and LDL were statistically different in FBS \geq 126 in comparison to 100-125 (prediabetic) and <100 (normal) cases (0.003, < 0.001, < 0.001, < 0.001 respectively.)

In subjects with hypertension, there was significant increase in fasting blood glucose level with IQR 97-108 in cases with BP \geq 140/90 (p= 0.003). Serum uric acid was observed significantly higher in BP \geq 140/90 mmHg as compared to < 140/90 mm Hg (p < 0.001).

The spearman's correlation shows significant negative correlation in nephrolithiasis cases between serum urea, creatinine, sodium, TC, TG and LDL with estimated GFR whereas FBG was found positively correlated with serum

Biochemical & Hemodynamic variable			FBG level (n	FBG level (mg/dl)		p-value BP (mn		p-value
		≥126 (n=4)	100-125 (n=31)	<100 (n=65)		≥140/90 (n=34)	<140/90 (n=66)	
HbA1c (%)	Normal (<5.7)	2	19	53	0.057	24	50	0.371
	High (≥5.7)	2	12	12		10	16	
Urea (mg/dl)	Normal	0	25	55	<0.001	26	54	0.351
	High>40	4	6	10		8	12	
Crea (mg/dl)	Normal	3	28	59	0.659	27	61	0.004
	High > 1.3 (M) / >1.1(F)	1	3	6		7	4	
T.C (mg/dl)	Normal	0	20	44	0.024	24	40	0.383
	High≥200	4	11	21		10	26	
TG (mg/dl)	Normal	0	14	42	0.014	17	39	0.404
	High≥150	4	17	23		17	27	
LDL (mg/dl)	Normal	1	22	32	0.063	22	33	0.204
	High>130	3	9	33		12	33	
HDL (mg/dl)	Normal	1	14	49	0.004	19	45	0.273
	Low <35	3	17	16		15	21	
Hb (g/dl)	Normal	4	30	64	0.823	32	66	0.113
	Low≤10	0	1	1		2	0	
SBP (mm Hg)	Normal	2	18	50	0.114	8	62	<0.001
	High≥140	2	13	15		26	4	
DBP (mm Hg)	Normal	1	15	45	0.047	1	60	<0.001
	High≥90	3	16	20			6	

Table 1. Association Biochemical parameters and Hemodynamic variables with FBG and BP in Nephrolithiasis

Abbreviation: HbA1c-Glycated Hemoglobin (%), Crea-Creatinine, T.C-Total Cholesterol, TG-Triglycerides, LDL-Low Density Lipoprotein, HDL-High Density Lipoprotein, Hb-Hemoglobin, SBP-Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP-Diastolic Blood Pressure. Results obtained from Chi-square test. P-value <0.05 considered statistically significant and indicated bold.

phosphorous, uric acid, TG and LDL (p < 0.05). Likewise, in nephrolithiasis cases, there was positive correlation between HbA1c with TC, TG and phosphorous level (p < 0.05). Systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were found to be positively correlated with TG and hospital stay (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

In our study, approximately 31% and 4% of total kidney stone patients were prediabetes and diabetes respectively. Most of the study depicted positive association of DM with nephrolithiasis but still there is little explanation about the inconsistent link with prediabetes.18 One of the accepted mechanisms behind it is insulin resistance that alters ammonium formation by disturbing use of glutamine subsequently.¹⁹⁻²³ Our study also addressed the relative incidence of kidney stone in subjects diagnosed with metabolic disorder (35%) and is supported by many epidemiological studies conducted in western countries. However, diabetes mellitus caused due to nephrolithiasis is uncommon.²⁴⁻²⁹ The distribution of nephrolithiasis is found to be higher in male subjects (60%). Likewise, significant increase in uric acid (p = 0.008) with metabolic disorder is also seen in kidney stone patients. Such findings are also observed consistent with some study conducted by

Romero, Ramello, Lien, Gutman and Yu.^{1,30-32} Diabetes mellitus is one of the common causes of renal dysfunction.³³ In congruence with a study done in the Olmsted country population where patients with nephrolithiasis and chronic kidney disease were found frequently in hyperglycemic cases.³⁴⁻³⁶ The present research discovered that serum urea and creatinine level were increased in nephrolithiasis subjects with elevated fasting blood glucose level, which is approximately 1.25 times higher than normal. This was followed by a decrease in eGFR by 1.1 times. In context of nephrolithiasis, HbA1c levels can indicate the severity and control of underlying diabetes. Higher HbA1c levels reflect poorer glycemic control and suggest an increased risk of stone formation. The present study has also soon diabetic and prediabetic cases with more HbA1c level with derangement in some renal and lipid profiles.

Few studies were conducted to find out association of kidney stone with renal function. Obstructive nephropathy, infection, and inflammation along with metabolic disorders mainly diabetes mellitus and hypertension has shown contributory factor behind nephrolithiasis.³⁵⁻³⁹ In some studies, it was observed that stone deposited in loop of Henle causes interstitial inflammation resulting impairment in renal function.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The present study has shown uric acid level was positively correlated with increased blood sugar.

		Blood Glucose (mg/dl)		p-value	Blood Pressu	re (mm Hg)	p-value
	≥126 (n=4)	100-125 (n=31)	< 100 (n=65)		≥ 140/90 (n=34)	< 140/90 (n=66)	
Age (yrs)	54 (48-58)	50 (38-56)	38 (30-50)	0.006	43 (34-53)	43 (30-52)	0.458
FBG	156 (146-183)	108 (102-111)	94 (89-98)	<0.001	101 (97-108)	96 (89-100)	0.003
HbA1c	6.5 (5-8.7)	5 (5-6)	5 (5-5.1)	0.019	5 (5-6)	5 (5-5.2)	0.450
Urea	48 (43-160)	37 (31-40)	34 (31-38)	0.004	34 (31-40)	35 (31-39)	0.945
Crea	1.5 (1-6.5)	1 (1-3.5)	1(0.5-1)	0.004	1 (1-2.8)	1 (1-2.3)	0.144
Na+	139 (137-140)	136 (135-138)	138 (135-139)	0.191	137 (135-138)	138 (135-138)	0.903
K+	4 (4-4.7)	4 (4-4.4)	4 (4-4.5)	0.440	4 (4-4.3)	4 (4-4.2)	0.115
T.Ca++	8 (8-8.3)	8 (8-8.2)	8 (8-8.1)	0.486	8 (8-8.1)	8 (8-8.4)	0.665
PO4	4.5 (4-5)	4(4-5)	4(4-4.5)	0.303	4(4-5)	4 (4-4.8)	0.103
UA	5 (5-6.5)	5(4-5)	4(4-5)	0.008	5(4-5.2)	4(4-5)	0.008
T.C	278 (254-289)	196 (164-215)	187 (168-205)	0.003	187 (161-208)	192 (168-212)	0.310
TG	196 (175-211)	152 (132-165)	145 (135-154)	<0.001	151 (135-166)	146 (134-158)	0.111
LDL	167 (111-193)	132 (65-142)	64 (41-99)	<0.001	91 (40-140)	73 (54-120)	0.353
HDL	33(32-48)	48(38-56)	39(35-51)	0.054	43(35-52)	41(35-52)	0.726
eGFR	163 (82-187)	200 (180-215)	214 (200-230)	<0.001	210 (196-218)	212 (200-230)	0.681
Hb	13(11-15)	12(12-13)	13(12-14)	0.710	12(11-13)	13(12-14)	0.004
PR	75(67-78)	72(68-85)	74(68-80)	0.807	75(69-85)	72(68-80)	0.064
SBP	130 (112-140)	130 (120-140)	120 (120-133)	0.342	140 (137-142)	120 (120-130)	<0.001
DBP	90 (82-97)	90 (80-90)	80 (80-90)	0.075	90 (90-98)	80 (74-86)	<0.001
Size	43.5 (19.5-53.2)	16 (14-35)	18 (15-29.5)	0.566	20 (14.7-32.7)	16 (15-35.2)	0.630
D. Sur.	90 (42-165)	67 (46-86)	69 (48-92)	0.561	67 (46-90)	69 (47.5-92)	0.639
Hos. Stay	2 (1-4.5)	1 (1-2)	2 (1-4)	0.412	1 (1-3)	1.5 (1-4)	0.336

 Table 2. Median (IQR) of Biochemical parameters, Hemodynamic variables and outcome of surgery with FBG and BP in

 Nephrolithiasis subjects (N=100)

Abbreviation: Age (yrs)-Age (years), FBG-Fasting Blood Glucose (mg/dl), HbA1c-Glycated Hemoglobin (%), Crea-Creatinine (mg/dl), Na+-Sodium (mmol/L), K+-Potassium (mmol/L), T.Ca++-Total Calcium (mg/dl), PO4----Phosphorus (mg/dl), UA –Uric Acid (mg/dl), T.C-Total Cholesterol (mg/dl), TG-Triglycerides (mg/dl), LDL-Low Density Lipoprotein (mg/dl), HDL-High Density Lipoprotein (mg/dl), eGFR–estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (ml/min), Hb-Hemoglobin (g/dl), PR-pulse rate (/min), SBP-Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg), DBP-Dystolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg), D. Sur-Duration of Surgery (Minutes), Hos. Stay-Hospital Stay (days). Results obtained from Kruskal-Wallis for FBG and Man Whitney for BP. P-value < 0.05 considered statistically significant and indicated bold.

Table 3. Spearman's Correlation of Biochemical parameters, Hemodynamic variables and outcome of Nephrolithiasis (N=100)

Variables	Sys. BP	Dias. BP	PR	Hb	FBG	HbA1c	eGFR
Urea	139	077	.089	009	.207*	055	356*
Creatinine	-060	.020	123	.099	.099	131	367*
Sodium	161	.046	040	.095	011	065	275*
Potassium	.021	.126	.003	132	.139	.018	.009
Calcium	070	043	185	.094	151	008	019
Phosphorus	.174	.143	.057	020	.300*	.408*	.024
Uric acid	.136	.278*	.076	122	.244*	.078	090
T. Cholesterol	075	126	142	.029	.123	.320*	246*
Triglyceride	.206*	.118	.035	034	.222*	.260*	378*
LDL	.112	.019	.008	036	.320*	.129	276*
HDL	.182	004	017	094	.065	241*	040
Size	038	.006	131	.066	.068	.051	.616
Duration of Surgery	033	060	.068	.001	015	008	012
Hospital Stay	.024	202*	072	118	.004	032	.020

 $Results \ obtained \ were \ Spearman's \ correlation \ (\rho) \ value \ where \ *p-value \ < 0.05 \ considered \ statistically \ significant \ and \ indicated \ bold.$

Under normal circumstances, uric acid dissolves in the blood, passes through the kidneys, and is excreted in urine. However, when there is an excess of uric acid or if the urine becomes too acidic in infections or diabetes mellitus, it can crystallize and form uric acid stones.

In contrast to prior investigations, a substantial link between dyslipidemia and nephrolithiasis was observed in these participants. The elevated levels of certain lipids, particularly TC, TG and LDL-C have been shown to be associated with diabetes mellitus cases and increased risk of kidney stone formation. Though full mechanism is not still understood, but it may be related to the impact of lipid abnormalities on various physiological processes, including inflammation, oxidative stress, and urinary excretion of stone-forming substances.⁴³ In the present study there was a significant increase in fasting blood glucose level showing insulin resistance and further affecting vascular system leading to elevated blood pressure in kidney stone patients.^{11,44}

High uric acid levels can contribute to endothelial dysfunction, which refers to impaired function of the cells lining the blood vessels. This dysfunction can lead to vasoconstriction and increased resistance to blood flow, contributing to elevated blood pressure. Like our investigation, some cohort studies conducted in China, Japan, and Bangladesh found a favorable connection between serum uric acid levels and hypertension.⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰

Elevated blood pressure can alter kidney function, leading to changes in urine composition and reduced urine volume. These factors can promote the formation of kidney stones. Individuals with diabetes may have altered urine composition, including increased excretion of calcium and oxalate, which are major components of kidney stones. Moreover, poorly controlled blood glucose levels can lead to dehydration, concentrated urine and reduced urinary flow, all of which increase the risk of stone formation.

It is important that the biochemical and hemodynamic characteristics can vary among individuals depending on the severity and control of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and nephrolithiasis. Hence, long term randomized control trial can be studied in those patients including medication use, lifestyle and other comorbidities which can influence these characteristics. By addressing these factors, healthcare providers aim to reduce the risk of recurrent kidney stone formation, minimize cardiovascular complications, and promote overall health and well-being in patients with nephrolithiasis.

CONCLUSION

Hypertension, metabolic disorder, and renal impairment are found to be significantly associated with each other in kidney stone disease. Early detection of these diseases with periodic investigation of different hemodynamic and biochemical parameters can make it easy to avoid serious complications in handling and treating nephrolithiasis.

REFERENCES

- Romero V, Akpinar H, Assimos DG. Kidney stones: a global picture of prevalence, incidence, and associated risk factors. *Reviews in urology*. 2010;12(2-3):e86
- 2. Stamatelou KK, Francis ME, Jones CA, Nyberg Jr LM, Curhan GC. Time trends in reported prevalence of kidney stones in the United States: 1976-94. *Kidney Int.* 2003 May 1;63(5):1817-23.
- 3. Hiatt RA, Dales LG, Friedman GD, Hunkeler EM. Frequency of urolithiasis in a prepaid medical care program. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1982 Feb 1;115(2):255-65.
- Pearle M, Calhoun E, Curhan GC. Urolithiasis, in Urologic Diseases in America, United States Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Washington, DC, US Government Printing Office, 2004.
- Lingeman JE, Saywell Jr RM, Woods JR, Newman DM. Cost analysis of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy relative to other surgical and nonsurgical treatment alternatives for urolithiasis. *Med Care.* 1986 Dec 1;24(12):1151-60.
- Beck-Nielsen H, Groop LC. Metabolic and genetic characterization of prediabetic states. Sequence of events leading to non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest. 1994 Nov 1;94(5):1714-21.
- 7. Sakhaee K, Adams-Huet B, Moe OW, Pak CY. Pathophysiologic basis for normouricosuric uric acid nephrolithiasis. *Kidney Int.* 2002 Sep 1;62(3):971-9.

- Abate N, Chandalia M, Cabo-Chan Jr AV, Moe OW, Sakhaee K. The metabolic syndrome and uric acid nephrolithiasis: novel features of renal manifestation of insulin resistance. *Kidney Int.* 2004 Feb 1;65(2):386-92.
- Tibblin G. A Population Study of 50-year-old Men: An Analysis of the Non-participation Group. Acta Med Scand. 1965 Jan 12;178(4):453-9.
- Madore F, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Curhan GC. Nephrolithiasis and risk of hypertension in women. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1998 Nov 1;32(5):802-7.
- 11. Madore F, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, Curhan GC. Nephrolithiasis and risk of hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 1998; 11(1 Pt 1):46-53.
- 12. Strazzullo P, Barba G, Vuotto P, Farinaro E, Siani A, Nunziata V, et al. Past history of nephrolithiasis and incidence of hypertension in men: a reappraisal based on the results of the Olivetti Prospective Heart Study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2001 Nov 1;16(11):2232-5.
- Gillen DL, Coe FL, Worcester EM. Nephrolithiasis and increased blood pressure among females with high body mass index. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2005 Aug 1;46(2):263-9.
- Domingos F, Serra A. Nephrolithiasis is associated with an increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011 Mar 1;26(3):864-8.
- Ando R, Nagaya T, Suzuki S, Takahashi H, Kawai M, Okada A, et al. Kidney stone formation is positively associated with conventional risk factors for coronary heart disease in Japanese men. *J Urol.* 2013 Apr;189(4):1340-6.

Original Article

- Kittanamongkolchai W, Mara KC, Mehta RA, Vaughan LE, Denic A, Knoedler JJ, et al. Risk of hypertension among first-time symptomatic kidney stone formers. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017 Mar 7;12(3):476-82.
- Cupisti A, D'Alessandro C, Samoni S, Meola M, Egidi MF. Nephrolithiasis and hypertension: possible links and clinical implications. *J Nephrol.* 2014; 27(5):477-82.
- Taylor EN, Stampfer MJ, Curhan GC. Diabetes mellitus and the risk of nephrolithiasis. *Kidney Int*. 2005 Sep 1;68(3):1230-5.
- Bagnasco SM, Gaydos DS, Risquez A, Preuss HG. The regulation of renal ammoniagenesis in the rat by extracellular factors. III. Effects of various fuels on in vitro ammoniagenesis. *Metabolism*. 1983 Sep 1;32(9):900-5.
- Vinay PA, Lemieux G, Cartier PI, Ahmad MU. Effect of fatty acids on renal ammoniagenesis in in vivo and in vitro studies. *Am J Physiol*. 1976 Sep 1;231(3):880-7.
- 21. Wong YV, Cook P, Somani BK. The association of metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2015 Mar 22;2015.
- 22. Jeong IG, Kang T, Bang JK, Park J, Kim W, Hwang SS, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and the presence of kidney stones in a screened population. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2011 Sep 1;58(3):383-8.
- 23. Rde SF, Almeida JR, Kang HC, Rosa ML, Lugon JR. Metabolic syndrome and associated urolithiasis in adults enrolled in a community-based health program. *Fam Pract.* 2013;30(3):276-81.
- 24. Lieske JC, de la Vega LS, Gettman MT, Slezak JM, Bergstralh EJ, Melton III LJ, et al. Diabetes mellitus and the risk of urinary tract stones: a population-based case-control study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2006 Dec 1;48(6):897-904.
- Kadlec AO, Greco K, Fridirici ZC, Hart ST, Vellos T, Turk TM. Metabolic syndrome and urinary stone composition: what factors matter most? Urology. 2012 Oct 1;80(4):805-10.
- 26. Weinberg AE, Patel CJ, Chertow GM, Leppert JT. Diabetic severity and risk of kidney stone disease. *Eur Urol.* 2014 Jan 1;65(1):242-7.
- Sakhaee K, Maalouf NM. Metabolic syndrome and uric acid nephrolithiasis. *Semin Nephrol.* 2008 Mar;28(2):174-80. doi: 10.1016/j.semnephrol.2008.01.010. PMID: 18359398.
- Li H, Klett DE, Littleton R, Elder JS, Sammon JD. Role of insulin resistance in uric acid nephrolithiasis. *World J Nephrol.* 2014 Nov 6;3(4):237-42. doi: 10.5527/wjn.v3.i4.237. PMID: 25374817; PMCID: PMC4220356.
- Liu YT, Yang PY, Yang YW, Sun HY, Lin IC. The association of nephrolithiasis with metabolic syndrome and its components: a crosssectional analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017 Jan 6;13:41-8. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S125480. PMID: 28123300; PMCID: PMC5228628.
- Ramello A, Vitale C, Marangella M. Epidemiology of nephrolithiasis. J Nephrol. 2000 Nov-Dec;13 Suppl 3:S45-50. PMID: 11132032.
- Lien TH, Wu JS, Yang YC, Sun ZJ, Chang CJ. The effect of glycemic status on kidney stone disease in patients with prediabetes. *Diabetes Metab* J. 2016 Apr 1;40(2):161-6.
- 32. Gutman AB. Uric acid nephrolithiasis. *Am J Med.* 1968 Nov 1;45(5):756-79.
- 33. United States Renal Data System. USRDS 2007 Annual Data Report. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2007.

- 34. Meera KS, Vasudha KC. The study of serum Uric acid in non insulin dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *Asian J BIO Res.* 2011; 1(3):260-6.
- 35. Saucier NA, Sinha MK, Liang KV, Krambeck AE, Weaver AL, Bergstralh EJ, et al. Risk factors for CKD in persons with kidney stones: a casecontrol study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Am J Kidney Dis. 2010 Jan 1;55(1):61-8.
- 36. Keddis MT, Rule AD. Nephrolithiasis and loss of kidney function. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2013 Jul 1;22(4):390-6.
- 37. Gambaro G, Favaro S, D'Angelo A. Risk for renal failure in nephrolithiasis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001 Feb 1;37(2):233-43.
- Worcester E, Parks JH, Josephson MA, Thisted RA, Coe FL. Causes and consequences of kidney loss in patients with nephrolithiasis. *Kidney Int*. 2003 Dec 1;64(6):2204-13.
- 39. Kukreja R, Desai M, Patel SH, Desai MR. Nephrolithiasis associated with renal insufficiency: factors predicting outcome. *J Endourol.* 2003 Dec;17(10):875-9.
- 40. Nissenson AR, Pereira BJ, Collins AJ, Steinberg EP. Prevalence and characteristics of individuals with chronic kidney disease in a large health maintenance organization. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2001 Jun 1;37(6):1177-83.
- Rutkowski P, Klassen A, Sebekova K, Bahner U, Heidland A. Renal disease in obesity: the need for greater attention. *J Ren Nutr.* 2006 Jul 1;16(3):216-23.
- 42. Evan AP, Lingeman JE, Coe FL, Parks JH, Bledsoe SB, Shao Y, et al. Randall's plaque of patients with nephrolithiasis begins in basement membranes of thin loops of Henle. *J Clin Invest.* 2003 Mar 1;111(5):607-16.
- 43. Chou YH, Li CC, Hsu H, Chang WC, Liu CC, Li WM, et al. Renal function in patients with urinary stones of varying compositions. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* 2011 Jul 1;27(7):264-7.
- 44. Liu YT, Yang PY, Yang YW, Sun HY, Lin IC. The association of nephrolithiasis with metabolic syndrome and its components: a cross-sectional analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017 Jan 6;13:41-48.
- 45. Ferrannini E, Cushman WC. Diabetes and hypertension: the bad companions. *The Lancet*. 2012 Aug 11;380(9841):601-10.
- 46. Cheng W, Wen S, Wang Y, Qian Z, Tan Y, Li H, et al. The association between serum uric acid and blood pressure in different age groups in a healthy Chinese cohort. *Medicine*. 2017 Dec;96(50).
- 47. Cui LF, Shi HJ, Wu SL, Shu R, Liu N, Wang GY, et al. Association of serum uric acid and risk of hypertension in adults: a prospective study of Kailuan Corporation cohort. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2017 May;36:1103-10.
- Kuwabara M, Niwa K, Nishi Y, Mizuno A, Asano T, Masuda K, et al. Relationship between serum uric acid levels and hypertension among Japanese individuals not treated for hyperuricemia and hypertension. *Hypertens Res.* 2014 Aug;37(8):785-9.
- Yokokawa H, Fukuda H, Suzuki A, Fujibayashi K, Naito T, Uehara Y, et al. Association Between Serum Uric Acid Levels/Hyperuricemia and Hypertension Among 85,286 Japanese Workers. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2016 Jan;18(1):53-9.
- Ali N, Mahmood S, Islam F, Rahman S, Haque T, Islam S, et al. Relationship between serum uric acid and hypertension: a crosssectional study in Bangladeshi adults. *Sci Rep.* 2019 Jun 21;9(1):1-7.